

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO,	:	APPEAL NO. C-070131
	:	TRIAL NOS. B-0608303
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	B-0609208
	:	B-0611243
vs.	:	
	:	<i>JUDGMENT ENTRY.</i>
ROBERT SCALF,	:	
Defendant-Appellant.	:	

This appeal is considered on the accelerated calendar, and this judgment entry shall not be considered an opinion of the court.¹

Defendant-appellant Robert Scalf appeals the trial court's judgment convicting him of two counts of receiving stolen property,² possession of criminal tools,³ and violating his community-control sanctions in the cases numbered B-0608303 and B-0609208. He was convicted after entering a guilty plea. The trial court imposed an agreed sentence of three years' imprisonment: a two-year prison term for the community-control violation that was consecutive to the one-year concurrent prison terms for the remaining offenses.

¹ App.R. 11.1(E); Loc.R.12; S.Ct.R.Rep.Op. 3(A).

² R.C. 2913.51(A).

³ R.C. 2923.24(A).

After reviewing the record and the applicable law, Scalf's appointed appellate counsel, pursuant to *Anders v. California*,⁴ states in her brief that she has found no errors in the proceedings below, has moved to withdraw as counsel, and has requested this court to review the record for any reversible error.

Under *Anders*, this court is now charged with the task of independently reviewing the record for any prejudicial errors that would warrant the reversal of the trial court's judgment. After reviewing the entire record, we conclude that there was no prejudicial error in the proceedings below, and we hold that there are no grounds to support a meritorious appeal. Scalf's guilty pleas were entered and accepted in accordance with Crim.R. 11(C), and the trial court imposed an agreed sentence. The judgment of the trial court is, therefore, affirmed, and counsel's motion to withdraw is hereby overruled.

Although we have concluded that this appeal is frivolous pursuant to App.R. 23 and is without "reasonable cause" under R.C. 2505.35, we refrain from taxing costs and expenses against Scalf because it is clear from the record that he is indigent.

Further, a certified copy of this Judgment Entry shall constitute the mandate, which shall be sent to the trial court under App.R. 27.

HILDEBRANDT, P.J., HENDON and CUNNINGHAM, JJ.

To the Clerk:

Enter upon the Journal of the Court on October 31, 2007

per order of the Court _____.
Presiding Judge

⁴ (1967), 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396.